IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT APPEAL NO : 828 of 2001

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 25.7.2000 in WP No : 529 of 1994 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

A.P.S.R.T.C. Employees Welfare Association,

rep. by its Secretary, M.Shanker Reddy,

Senior Assistant, APSRTC Madanapalle-II Depot

Madanapalle, Chittoor District

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. The District Collector,

Chittoor District.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,

Chittoor, Chittoor District.

3. The Mandal Revenue Officer,

Madanapalle, Chittoor District.

4. The Government of A.P. rep. by

Principal Secretary (Revenue) Secretariat, Hyderabad

(R.4 is impleaded as per court orders dated 3.3.2005 in WAMP No.597 of 2005)

....RESPONDENTs

Counsel for the Appellant: MR.M.VENKATA RAMANA REDDY
Counsel for the Respondents.: GP FOR ASSIGNMENT

The Court made the following :

ORAL ORDER: (per Sri BRSR,J)

The appellant - APSRTC Employees Welfare Association, Madanapally filed the writ petition questioning the orders passed by the Mandal Revenue Officer dated 25.9.1993 cancelling the assignment made in the year 1956 in respect of the lands in question. The lands have been purchased under registered sale deeds from the original assignees. The learned single Judge having noticed that the District Collector himself called for the report from the Revenue Divisional Officer regarding feasibility of the proposal for alienation of the land in favour of the petitioner on payment of market value, disposed of the writ petition directing the 2nd respondent – Revenue Divisional Officer to dispose of the appeal preferred by the petitioner in accordance with law. The learned Judge directed the District Collector to consider the request of the petitioner for alienation of the land as per the present market value.

It is stated by the learned counsel for the appellant that the District Collector having considered the request of the appellant herein sent the proposals for alienation to the Government and the same is stated to be under consideration of the Government. In the circumstances, the only direction that could be given in this writ appeal is to direct the 4th respondent to consider the proposals submitted by the District Collector in accordance with law and take an appropriate decision thereon

as expeditiously as possible preferably, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ appeal is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

(B.SUDERSHAN REDDY,J)

dated 24..03..2005

(C.V.RAMULU,J)

msv

To

1. The District Collector,

Chittoor District.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,

Chittoor, Chittoor District.

3. The Mandal Revenue Officer,

Madanapalle, Chittoor District.

4. The Principal Secretary (Revenue)

Secretariat , Government of A.P.

Hyderabad

5. 2 CCs to G.P for Assignments, High Court of A.P, Hyderabad (OUT)

6 2 CD copies.